



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,230	02/09/2005	Thomas Lich	10191/3745	1557
26646 7590 01/16/2007 KENYON & KENYON LLP ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			EXAMINER ASSAF, FAYEZ G	
			ART UNIT 2872	PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS			01/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/524,230	Applicant(s) LICH ET AL.	
	Examiner Fayez G. Assaf	Art Unit 2872	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 8-26 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 8-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 February 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/9/05; 5/2/06</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 10, 14, 16, 20 and 25 are objected to, because the phrase "the figure (2)" in claim 10 lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iito (US 4,908,611).

Regarding claims 8, 10, 12, 14, Iito discloses a device (1 of Fig. 1) configured for holographic projection (by means of hologram 2) of an object in a space of a vehicle selected by the driver (line 11 to line 16 of Col. 2).

Regarding claim 16 and 17, Iino discloses the device being connectable to a sensor system for monitoring the driver or the vehicle, the device being configured in such a way that the device influences the projection of the figure (see Fig. 2A) and

Art Unit: 2872

a voice output (i.e. from TV) as a function of a first signal of the sensor system.

Regarding claim 23, Iino discloses the device being connected to a communication means (controller in Fig. 2A) in such a way that the device influences the projection of the figure as a function of a third signal from the communication means (such as a signal from stop sensor).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 11, 13, 15, 18-22 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iino (US 4,908,611) in view of Kanevsky et al. (US 6,236,968 B1).

Regarding claims 9 and 18-22, Iino discloses the claimed invention except for:

- the device being assigned a voice input and output.

Art Unit: 2872

- the device projecting the figure as a function of a signal from a seat occupancy recognition system.

However, Kanevsky discloses an interactive voice dialog system for sleep prevention having voice input and output.

It would have been obvious, at the time was made, to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teachings of Kanevsky and Iito in order to form an integral monitoring and entertaining system requiring only one processor and wiring system, in that, cost is reduced. Furthermore, the combined teachings of the Kanevsky and Iino enable one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to make projection of figures a function of a signal from a seat occupancy recognition system in order to prevent young children from having access to the device.

It is noted that the "seat occupancy recognition system" has been interpreted to mean pressure sensors in car seats (which deactivate airbags depending on the weight of the child).

Regarding claims 11, 13, 15 and 24-26, See the 102 rejection above.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lee (US 3,632,181)

Churchill et al. (US 2005/0192730 A1)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fayez G. Assaf whose telephone number is (571) 272-2307. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or

Application/Control Number: 10/524,230

Page 6

Art Unit: 2872

access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199

(IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Fayez Assaf". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Fayez" and the last name "Assaf" clearly distinguishable.

Fayez G. Assaf
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2872

1/8/2007